

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/214,277	KAWADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Venkataraman Balasubramanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Eugene Perez.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

61, 63, 65, 67, 69, 71 and 73

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Potential 112 first paragraph scope of enablement rejection of the said claims, which recite "allergies", was discussed. Counselor authorized to cancel these claims. Such will be done by Examiner' amendment
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)